

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

To: MIYAGAWA TEIJI	RECEIVED
6th Floor, Fuji Bldg., 19, Aizumi-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-0005 Japan	OCT. 20. 2004
	MIYAGAWA I.P.F.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 04-805EB-PCT	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 19.10.2004	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/009577	International filing date (day/month/year) 30.06.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.07.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int.Cl' H01L21/205, H01L21/31		
Applicant EBARA CORPARATION		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Japan Patent Office 3-4-3, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8915, Japan	Authorized officer RYU IKEFUCHI Telephone No. +81-3-3581-1101 Ext. 3469	4R 8831
--	--	-----------------------

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/009577

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

in written format
 in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/ 009577

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>3</u>	YES
	Claims	<u>1, 2</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	<u>1-3</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-3</u>	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

D1:JP 07-053298 A (Fujitsu Limited.) 1995.02.28, fig.2
& US 6248400 B1

D2:JP 04-037693 A (Idemitsu Petrochemical Co. Ltd.) 1992.02.07, fig.1,3
(no family)

D3:JP 09-055385 A (Daido Hokusan Co. Ltd.) 1997.02.25, fig.1
(no family)

The subject matter of claim 1 does not appear to be novel with respect to D1. Claim 1 relates to a substrate processing system comprising : a gas supply source, a reactor, a first circulation pipe, a second circulation pipe and a flow regulating valve. Such a substrate processing system appears to be known from D1 (see fig. 2, paragraph [0019]-[0023]).

The subject matter of claim 2 does not appear to be novel with respect to D1. Claim 2 relates to a substrate processing system of Claim1 further comprising a pump. Such a pump appears to be known from D1 (see fig. 2, vacuum pump 19).

The subject matter of claim 3 does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of the document D1, D2, D3 cited in the ISR.

Claim 3 relates to a substrate processing system of Claim 1 or 2 that further comprises a second gas supply source. The substrate processing system disclosed in D1 appears to be different from the subject matter of claim 3 in that all the gases are supplied to a tank 22.

However, a substrate processing system comprising a second gas supply source for supplying a second process gas not to a tank but to a reactor in order to get a mixed gas with a intended gas composition is disclosed in both D2 and D3.

D2 discloses a substrate processing system comprising gas supplying tubes 13,14,15, which supply Ar, CO, H₂ gas respectively not to a buffer tank 9 but to a reactor 1 via flow controller 11 (see fig. 1 and fig. 3).

D3 discloses a substrate processing system comprising a H₂ gas supplying equipment 35, which supplies H₂ gas not to a tank 32 but to a reactor 20 (see fig. 1).

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/009577

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: V

Therefore the skilled person would regard it as a normal option to adopt a second gas supply source supplying the second gas to a reactor as disclosed in D2 or D3 instead of a second gas supply source supplying the second gas to a tank as disclosed in D1 in order to make a mixed gas in the tank or the reactor a intended gas composition.

REST AVAILABLE COPY